

# CNMI State Systemic Improvement Plan: Evaluation Plan

CNMI IDEA Part B SSIP Phase III Year 6

SSIP Evaluation Worksheet 1: Outcomes by Evaluation Question and Performance Indicator		
Evaluation Question	Performance Indicator	Outcome: Short-term, Intermediate, Long-term <i>FORMATIVE</i>
<b>A1.</b> To what extent is the universal screening implemented in K to 3rd grade?	(1) 100% of students in K to 3rd grade are screened to determine early literacy or reading proficiency.	<b>SHORT-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers increase knowledge and skills to administer, analyze and interpret STAR data</li> </ul> <b>INTERMEDIATE:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers implement STAR screening with fidelity and use the data to plan appropriate instruction</li> </ul> <b>LONG-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery</li> </ul>
	(2) Participation 100% of students with IEPs in K to 3 <sup>rd</sup> grades are screened to determine early literacy or reading proficiency.	
<b>A 1.1</b> To what extent do teachers perceive their knowledge and skills on how to administer, analyze and interpret the STAR Early Literacy and Reading Screening?	(3) 100% of teachers perceive their knowledge and skills on how to administer, analyze, interpret, and use STAR data have increased as a result of the training.	<b>SHORT-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers increase knowledge and skills to administer, analyze and interpret STAR data</li> </ul> <b>INTERMEDIATE:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers provide evidence-based reading instruction and appropriate interventions to meet students' needs</li> <li>Teachers improve instructional practices</li> </ul> <b>LONG-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery.</li> </ul>
<b>A 1.2 (SOPs)</b> To what extent do teachers administer STAR Early Literacy and STAR Reading screening procedures with fidelity?	(4) 100% of the teachers assigned to administer the screening tests, administer the test with fidelity in accordance with the SOP.	<b>SHORT-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers increase knowledge and skills to administer, analyze and interpret STAR data</li> </ul> <b>INTERMEDIATE:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers implement STAR screening with fidelity and use the data to plan appropriate instruction</li> </ul> <b>LONG-TERM:</b>

# CNMI State Systemic Improvement Plan: Evaluation Plan

CNMI IDEA Part B SSIP Phase III Year 6

SSIP Evaluation Worksheet 1: Outcomes by Evaluation Question and Performance Indicator		
Evaluation Question	Performance Indicator	Outcome: Short-term, Intermediate, Long-term <i>FORMATIVE</i>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery</li> </ul>
<b>A1.3</b> As a result of PD, TA and coaching, to what extent was there increased use of screening data to improve reading instruction?	(5) 100% of teachers use the STAR EL / Reading Instructional Planning Tool to plan instruction based on screening data.	<b>SHORT-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers increase knowledge and skills to administer, analyze and interpret STAR data</li> </ul> <b>INTERMEDIATE:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers implement STAR screening with fidelity and use the data to plan appropriate instruction</li> <li>Teachers provide evidence-based reading instruction and appropriate interventions to meet students' needs</li> <li>Teachers improve instructional practices</li> </ul> <b>LONG-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery</li> </ul>
	(6) 100% PLC meetings show evidence of discussion from all members of screening and progress monitoring data from STAR Early Literacy and STAR Reading assessments to plan and deliver reading instruction.	
<b>A2.</b> To what extent is the early literacy and reading curriculum implemented with fidelity in the schools?	(7) 100% of the classrooms-demonstrate evidence of at least 75% of the indicators in each of the following areas from the Core Curriculum (Journeys) Fidelity Checklist:	<b>SHORT-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers increase knowledge and skills in the essential foundations of reading</li> </ul> <b>INTERMEDIATE:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers provide evidence-based reading instruction and appropriate interventions to meet students' needs</li> <li>Teachers improve instructional practices</li> </ul> <b>LONG-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery</li> </ul>
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Classroom Environment</li> <li>Whole Group Instruction</li> <li>Small Group Instruction</li> <li>Independent Practice</li> </ul> Core Curriculum (Journeys) Fidelity Checklist  ELA Coordinator will observe all ELA K-3 classrooms (target schools) for the whole period. (target is 80% of the classrooms)	

# CNMI State Systemic Improvement Plan: Evaluation Plan

CNMI IDEA Part B SSIP Phase III Year 6

SSIP Evaluation Worksheet 1: Outcomes by Evaluation Question and Performance Indicator		
Evaluation Question	Performance Indicator	Outcome: Short-term, Intermediate, Long-term <i>FORMATIVE</i>
<b>A.2.1</b> To what extent do the teachers at the three SSIP Target Schools demonstrate competency in teaching the essential components of reading?	(8) 100% of teachers perceive their knowledge of the reading components has increased in: Teacher: I have full Knowledge	<b>SHORT-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers increase knowledge and skills in the essential foundations of reading</li> </ul> <b>INTERMEDIATE:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers provide evidence-based reading instruction and appropriate interventions to meet students' needs</li> <li>Teachers improve instructional practices</li> </ul> <b>LONG-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery</li> </ul>
	<del>(9) 100% of teachers demonstrate at least 75% competency in teaching the essential foundations of reading</del>	
	(10) 100% of teachers demonstrate improved instructional practices in reading over time	
	(11) 100% of students with disabilities have access to evidence-based core instruction	
<b>A.2.2.</b> To what extent did student performance improve over time? (Long Term Outcome)	(12) [IEP's] 100% of students with disabilities increased their reading performance over time as measured by the STAR Early Literacy and STAR Reading	<b>INTERMEDIATE:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers provide evidence-based reading instruction and appropriate interventions to meet students' needs</li> <li>Teachers improve instructional practices</li> </ul> <b>LONG-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery</li> </ul>
	(12.1) Number and percent who increased subscale score from screening #1 to #2 to a level close to benchmark and improvement to benchmark and number and percent who maintained or exceeded benchmark performance level.	
<b>A.2.3.</b> What are the overall impacts for reading instruction for students with or without disabilities?	(13) 100% of all students increased their reading proficiency over time as measured by STAR Early Literacy and STAR Reading Assessments.	<b>INTERMEDIATE:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers provide evidence-based reading instruction and appropriate interventions to meet students' needs</li> <li>Teachers improve instructional practices</li> </ul> <b>LONG-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery</li> </ul>
	(13.1) Number and percent who increased subscale score from screening #1 to #2 to a level close to benchmark and improvement to benchmark and number and percent who maintained or exceeded benchmark performance level.	

# CNMI State Systemic Improvement Plan: Evaluation Plan

CNMI IDEA Part B SSIP Phase III Year 6

SSIP Evaluation Worksheet 1: Outcomes by Evaluation Question and Performance Indicator		
Evaluation Question	Performance Indicator	Outcome: Short-term, Intermediate, Long-term <i>FORMATIVE</i>
<b>A3.</b> What are overall impacts for implementing an Early Warning System for grades K-3?	(14) 100% of students exhibiting academic and behavior at-risk performance are identified and provided interventions to improve performance.	<b>SHORT-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>District and school personnel increase knowledge and skills in identifying students at risk for not reading by 3<sup>rd</sup> grade.</li> </ul> <b>INTERMEDIATE:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers and other school personnel identify students at risk for not reading by 3<sup>rd</sup> grade.</li> </ul> <b>LONG-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery.</li> </ul>
<b>A3.1</b> To what extent is the Early Warning System (EWS) implemented in K to 3 <sup>rd</sup> ?	(15) 100% of elementary schools are participating in the EWS.	
<b>A3.2</b> To what extent do teacher perceive their knowledge and skills in identifying students at risk for not reading by 3 <sup>rd</sup> grade?	(16) 100% of teachers perceive their knowledge and skills in identifying students at risk for not reading by 3 <sup>rd</sup> grade has increased.	<b>SHORT-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers increase their knowledge and skills in identifying students at risk for not reading by 3<sup>rd</sup> grade</li> </ul> <b>INTERMEDIATE:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers identify students at risk for not reading by 3<sup>rd</sup> grade.</li> </ul> <b>LONG-TERM:</b> Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery.
<b>A3.3</b> To what extent do teachers perceive their knowledge and skills in identifying and providing appropriate interventions for students at risk for reading by 3 <sup>rd</sup> grade?	(17) 100% of teachers perceive their knowledge and skills in identifying and providing appropriate interventions for students at risk for reading by 3 <sup>rd</sup> grade has increased.	<b>SHORT-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers increase their knowledge and skills in identifying and providing appropriate interventions for students at risk for not reading by 3<sup>rd</sup> grade</li> </ul> <b>INTERMEDIATE:</b>

# CNMI State Systemic Improvement Plan: Evaluation Plan

CNMI IDEA Part B SSIP Phase III Year 6

SSIP Evaluation Worksheet 1: Outcomes by Evaluation Question and Performance Indicator		
Evaluation Question	Performance Indicator	Outcome: Short-term, Intermediate, Long-term <i>FORMATIVE</i>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers identify and provide appropriate interventions for students at risk for not reading by 3<sup>rd</sup> grade.</li> </ul> <p><b>LONG-TERM:</b> Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery.</p>
<b>A4.</b> What are overall impacts for implementing High Dosage Tutoring in grades K-3 in reading?	(18) 100% of students in need of supplemental intervention to address learning loss are provided High Dosage Tutoring.	<p><b>SHORT-TERM:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tutors hired to provided High Dosage Tutoring increase their knowledge and skills in providing high dosage tutoring to students at risk for learning loss in grades K to 3<sup>rd</sup>.</li> </ul> <p><b>INTERMEDIATE:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tutors provide high dosage tutoring to identified students.</li> </ul> <p><b>LONG-TERM:</b> Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery.</p>
A.4.1 To what extent did student performance improve over time? (Long Term Outcome)	(19) 100% of students increased their reading performance over time as measured by the STAR Early Literacy and STAR Reading.	<p><b>INTERMEDIATE:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Tutors provide high dosage tutoring to identified students.</li> </ul> <p><b>LONG-TERM:</b> Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery.</p>
<b>B1.</b> To what extent did providers adhere to established PD and TA Structure and Procedures?	(20) 100% of the PD provided to instructional support staff followed the PD structure and protocol.	<p><b>SHORT-TERM:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>District and school personnel increase knowledge and skills in delivering effective professional development</li> </ul> <p><b>INTERMEDIATE:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>District and schools deliver professional development based on effective PD and TA Structure</li> </ul> <p><b>LONG-TERM:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CNMI has increased infrastructure to scale-up implementation with fidelity</li> </ul>
	(21) 100% of PD Participants report that they were satisfied with the quality and intensity of the PD and opportunities for practice and feedback provided.	

# CNMI State Systemic Improvement Plan: Evaluation Plan

CNMI IDEA Part B SSIP Phase III Year 6

<b>SSIP Evaluation Worksheet 1: Outcomes by Evaluation Question and Performance Indicator</b>		
<b>Evaluation Question</b>	<b>Performance Indicator</b>	<b>Outcome: Short-term, Intermediate, Long-term FORMATIVE</b>
<p><b>B.1.1</b> As a result of the TA, to what extent did the special education teachers at the target schools increase their knowledge and skills in developing, reviewing and revising appropriate IEPs?</p>	<p>(22) 100% of special education teachers who perceive their knowledge and skills in developing, reviewing, and revising IEPs has increased.</p>	<p><b>SHORT-TERM:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>School level implementation team increase knowledge and skills in developing appropriate IEPs</li> </ul> <p><b>INTERMEDIATE:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers develop appropriate IEPs based on current data</li> </ul> <p><b>LONG-TERM:</b> Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery</p>
<p><b>B.1.2</b> To what extent did the special education teachers at the Target Schools demonstrate competency in delivering specially designed instruction to students with IEPs?</p>	<p>(23) 100% of special education teachers demonstrate competency in delivering instruction that promotes equitable, supportive, and active learning.</p>	<p><b>SHORT-TERM:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>School level implementation team increase knowledge and skills in developing appropriate IEPs</li> </ul> <p><b>INTERMEDIATE:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers develop appropriate IEPs based on current data</li> </ul> <p><b>LONG-TERM:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery</li> </ul>
<p><b>B.1.3 (IEP's)</b> As a result of professional development, technical assistance and coaching support, to what extent do students with disabilities have access to evidence-based core instruction and supports?</p>	<p>(24) 100% of IEP's include PLAAFP's that are based on current data. Specially designed instruction and goals reflect the general education curriculum, and students are provided accommodations to allow benefit in general education classrooms.</p>	<p><b>SHORT-TERM:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>School level implementation team increase knowledge and skills in developing appropriate IEPs</li> </ul> <p><b>INTERMEDIATE:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Teachers develop appropriate IEPs based on current data</li> </ul> <p><b>LONG-TERM:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery</li> </ul>

# CNMI State Systemic Improvement Plan: Evaluation Plan

CNMI IDEA Part B SSIP Phase III Year 6

SSIP Evaluation Worksheet 1: Outcomes by Evaluation Question and Performance Indicator		
Evaluation Question	Performance Indicator	Outcome: Short-term, Intermediate, Long-term <i>FORMATIVE</i>
<b>B 2.</b> To what extent is coaching implemented in the target schools?	(25) 100% of the target schools have literacy coaches assigned to their schools.	<b>SHORT-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Literacy coaches increase their knowledge and skills in coaching and modeling instructional practices</li> </ul>
	(26) 100% of the schools are in line with national best practices for coaching ratio, 1:10.	
	(27) 100% of teachers in target schools report that their instructional practices have improved overtime due to literacy coaching they received.	
<b>C1.</b> To what extent does collaboration occur at the school level between general education and special education teachers?	(28) 100% of the collaborative meetings occur between general education and special education teacher. Collaboration is defined as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Participation</li> <li>Level of engagement</li> <li>Topic of discussion</li> </ul>	<b>SHORT-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>School implementation team (PLC) increase knowledge and skills of effective collaboration</li> </ul> <b>INTERMEDIATE:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Implementation teams collaborate on instructional planning to meet the needs of all students</li> </ul> <b>LONG TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery</li> </ul>
<b>C2.</b> To what extent does collaboration occur at the school level between schools and families?	(29) 100% of families report that there is improved collaboration between schools and families.	<b>SHORT-TERM:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>School personnel and families increase knowledge and skills of effective collaboration</li> </ul> <b>INTERMEDIATE:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>School personnel and families collaborate on delivery of instruction to meet the needs of all students.</li> </ul> <b>LONG TERM:</b> Students demonstrate grade level reading skills mastery
	(30) 100% of families report that supports are provided to families to meet the needs of their child.	

# CNMI State Systemic Improvement Plan: Evaluation Plan

CNMI IDEA Part B SSIP Phase III Year 6

SSIP Evaluation Worksheet 1: Outcomes by Evaluation Question and Performance Indicator		
Evaluation Question	Performance Indicator	Outcome: Short-term, Intermediate, Long-term <i>FORMATIVE</i>
<p><b>D1.</b> To what extent do SWP include resources allocated to subgroups of K to 3<sup>rd</sup> students?</p>	<p>(31) 100% of SWPs attain a score of 3 or higher (include resources dedicated to struggling learners in K to 3rd grade based on the student STAR Early Literacy and STAR Reading performance data of subgroups of students such as students with IEP's).</p>	<p><b>SHORT-TERM:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The district and schools increase knowledge and skills in developing School Wide Plans (SWPs) to include specific information on subgroups of students</li> </ul> <p><b>INTERMEDIATE:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Schools submit annual SWPs that include SSIP improvement activities</li> </ul> <p><b>LONG TERM</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CNMI has increased infrastructure to scale-up implementation with fidelity</li> </ul>
<p><b>E1.</b> To what extent does the Instructional Review Process (IRP) impact instructional practices in the classrooms?</p>	<p>(32) Schools will obtain an overall ELEOT score of 3.5 or higher.</p>	<p><b>INTERMEDIATE:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The district increases the use of Instructional Review Process to improve learning environment of students with disabilities</li> </ul> <p><b>LONG TERM</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CNMI has increased infrastructure to scale-up implementation with fidelity</li> </ul>